

by

$$(K\varphi)(z) = \int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^n} K(z, w) \wedge \varphi(w).$$

This integral makes sense, since  $K$  is <sup>locally</sup> integrable and  $\varphi$  has compact support. With the usual change of variables  $u = z - w$ ,

$$(K\varphi)(z) = \int_{u \in \mathbb{C}^n} K(z, z-u) \wedge \varphi(z-u)$$

is  $C^\infty$  in  $z$  since only  $\|u\|^{2n}$  will appear in the denominator of the integrand.

If

$$\varphi = \varphi d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{w}_q$$

$$\Rightarrow K(z, w) \wedge \varphi(w) = \frac{\sum \overline{\Phi_i(z-w)} \wedge \Phi_i(w)}{\|z-w\|^{2n}} \wedge \varphi d\bar{w}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{w}_q$$

Let  $n=2$ ,  $q=1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\Phi_1(z-w)} \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 + \overline{\Phi_2(z-w)} \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \\ &= (z_1 - w_1)(d\bar{z}_2 - d\bar{w}_2) \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 + (-1)(z_1 - w_2)(d\bar{z}_1 - d\bar{w}_1) \\ & \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 = -(z_1 - w_1) d\bar{z}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \\ & + (z_1 - w_1) d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 - (z_1 - w_2) d\bar{z}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \\ & + (z_2 - w_2) d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \end{aligned}$$

What is the definition of  $\int_{w \in \mathbb{C}^2} d\bar{z}_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2$ ?

I think it is zero. There is no other way.

$\Rightarrow K : A_c^{0,1}(\mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow A^{0,0}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  makes sense.