

$T_p(\tilde{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$ . Set

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda \cap \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} \text{ and } \tilde{\Lambda}' = \Lambda' \cap \mathbb{P}^{2n-1};$$

$\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}'$  are then  $(n-1)$ -planes in  $\tilde{F}$ , and by our previous argument  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  belong to the same family on  $F$  if and only if  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda}'$  belong to the same family on  $\tilde{F}$ .

① The  $n$ -planes in  $F$  through  $p$  are exactly the  $n$ -planes spanned by  $p$  together with  $(n-1)$ -planes in  $\tilde{F}$ .

②  $\Sigma_{n-1}$  &  $\Sigma_n$  are the disjoint unions of two irreducible varieties.  $\Rightarrow$

But the intersection  $\Lambda \cap \Lambda'$  is just the plane spanned by the intersection  $\tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}'$  together with  $p$ .

③ Suppose  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{P}^{m_1}$ ,  $\tilde{\Lambda}' = \mathbb{P}^{m_2}$  and  $\tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}' = \mathbb{P}^k$ .

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda = \mathbb{P}^{m_1+1}, \Lambda' = \mathbb{P}^{m_2+1}, \langle p, \tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}' \rangle = \mathbb{P}^{k+1}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda \cap \Lambda' = \mathbb{P}^{k+1}, \text{ and clearly since } \langle p, \tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}' \rangle \subset \langle p, \tilde{\Lambda} \rangle \cap \langle p, \tilde{\Lambda}' \rangle = \Lambda \cap \Lambda', \Lambda \cap \Lambda' = \langle p, \tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}' \rangle.$$

$\Rightarrow \Lambda \cap \Lambda'$  is the plane spanned by  $\tilde{\Lambda} \cap \tilde{\Lambda}'$  together with  $p$ .  $\Rightarrow$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$\Lambda, \Lambda'$  belong to the same family of  $n$ -planes on  $F \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}, \tilde{\Lambda}'$  belong to the same family of