

Clearly,

$d\Omega^p(\log D) \subset \Omega^{p+1}(\log D)$ ,  
and the resulting complex  $(\Omega^*(\log D), d)$  is called  
the log complex.

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon \quad d\left(\frac{dz_i}{z_i}\right) &= -\frac{1}{z_i^2} dz_i \wedge dz_i = 0. \quad \text{and } d(\text{holomorphic forms}) \\ &= \text{holomorphic forms} \Rightarrow d\Omega^p(\log D) \subset \Omega^{p+1}(\log D). \quad \sqcup \end{aligned}$$

An intrinsic characterization is given by the following

Lemma. If  $f$  is a local defining equation for  $D$ ,  
then  $\Omega^p(\log D)$  is given by those meromorphic  
forms  $\varphi$  s.t. both  $f\varphi$  and  $f d\varphi$   
are holomorphic.

Proof. Obviously we may take  $f = z_1 \cdots z_k$ , and then  
the necessary condition is clear.

$$\Upsilon \quad \varphi = \sum f_i \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

$$\Rightarrow f \frac{dz_i}{z_i} = z_1 \cdots z_k \frac{dz_i}{z_i} \text{ is holomorphic}$$

$$\Rightarrow f\varphi \text{ is holomorphic. Similarly, } f d\varphi \text{ is holomorphic.} \quad \sqcup$$

Suppose, conversely, that  $f\varphi$  and  $f d\varphi$  are holomorphic.  
Using the notations