

3-d along  $L$ .

But since  $g$  &  $dz_1 \wedge dz_2 / f$  must have a pole of order 0 (i.e. no pole) along  $L - S$ ,  $g$  must be a meromorphic function with a pole of order  $\leq d-3$  along  $L - S$ .

Thus we can say that  $g$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq d-3$  in  $z_1, z_2$ .

For  $F = \mathbb{A}^r$ , where  $r \leq d-3$ .  $\Rightarrow$

$$g(\mathbb{A}^r, \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{A}^1) = \frac{G(\mathbb{A}^r, \mathbb{A}^1, \mathbb{A}^1)}{\mathbb{A}^r} = G(1, \frac{z_1}{z_0}, \frac{z_2}{z_0})$$

$\Rightarrow$  Since  $G$  has  $\deg r$ ,  $g(z_1, z_2)$  has  $\deg r$ .

Question:  $\text{ord}_L f = -d$   $\text{ord}_L g = r \leq d-3$

$$\text{ord}_L(dz_1 \wedge dz_2) = -3$$

$\Rightarrow +d + r - 3 \leq 0 \Rightarrow W$  has a pole of order  $\leq 0$  along  $L$ . But  $W$  has a simple pole along  $S$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  What happens on  $S \cap L$ ?  
and elsewhere holomorphic.

In other words,  $W$  has a simple pole at a point  $p \in S \cap L$ , but  $W$  has no pole at  $p$  at the same time. Maybe I mis understand the definition of "along"  $L$ .

It is possible that  $W$  is holomorphic at some specific point of  $L$ . 95.2.20.  $W$  has a pole of order  $\leq 1$  along  $L$ .  $\cup$

Thus the holomorphic 1-forms in  $S$  are exactly the differentials