

$$(ii) \quad j=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\Lambda \cap V_{n-i+2}) \leq k-i+1$$

$$\Rightarrow k-l \leq k-i+1 \quad \Rightarrow i-1 \leq l \leq i-0 = i$$

\Rightarrow In case $l = i-1$, we have a contradiction.

In case $l = i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sigma_1 + \dots & i \\ \sigma_2 &= 0 + \sigma_2 & \vdots \\ &\vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_i &= 0 + \dots + \sigma_i & i \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \omega = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 0, \dots, 0, * \dots *) \\ (0, 1, \dots, 0, * \dots *) \\ \vdots \\ i (0, 0, \dots, 1, * \dots *) \\ i+1 (0, 0, \dots, 0, * \dots *) \\ \vdots \\ n (0, 0, \dots, 0, * \dots *) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\Lambda \cap V_{n-i+2}) \geq k-l+2 = k-i+2 \Rightarrow \text{Contradiction.}$$

Thus from (i) & (ii), we have

$$\dim(\Lambda \cap V_{n-i+2}) \geq k-i+2.$$

Next suppose $\dim(\Lambda \cap V_{n-k+k-i+3}) = k-i+2$.

From the above we know that $\dim(\Lambda \cap V_{n-i+2}) \geq k-i+2$.

$$V_{n-i+3} = \{e_{i-2}, e_{i-1}, e_i, \dots, e_n\}$$

$$V_{n-i+2} = \{e_{i-1}, e_i, \dots, e_n\}$$

$$k-l \leq k-i+2 \quad \text{by P361 } (*) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{n-i+3} \supset (**)$$

on P361.

$$\Rightarrow l \geq i-2.$$

$$① \quad l = i, \quad 0 \leq k \quad \text{by the above}$$

$$② \quad l = i-1, \quad 0 \leq k \quad \text{again by the above.}$$