

$$v_i = (*, *, \dots, *, 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

⌈ This is possible since $W_{a_1 \dots a_k} \in U_I$ where $I = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$, $b_i = n - k + i - a_i$.

$$\Lambda \cap V_{I^c} = (0)$$

If $W \notin U_I$, this is impossible.

Example. $W_{2,1} = \{ \Lambda \in G(2,4) : \dim(\Lambda \cap \langle e_1 \rangle) = 1, \dim(\Lambda \cap \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle) = 2 \}$.

$$a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1$$

$$b_1 = 4 - 2 + 1 - 2 = 1, \quad b_2 = 4 - 2 + 2 - 1 = 3$$

\Rightarrow Consider $\Lambda_0 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$. \Rightarrow Clearly $\Lambda_0 \in W_{2,1}$, but $\Lambda_0 \cap V_1 = \Lambda_0 \cap \langle e_1 \rangle = \langle e_1 \rangle$ and $\Lambda_0 \cap \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, so that we can not choose v_2 s.t. $\langle v_2, e_3 \rangle = 1$. \cup

Now take v_2 so that v_1 and v_2 together span $\Lambda \cap V_{n-k+2-a_2}$, normalized so that

$$\langle v_2, e_{n-k+1-a_1} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle v_2, e_{n-k+2-a_2} \rangle = 1.$$

⌈ See the explanation above. \cup

Continue in this way, choosing v_i so that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i span $\Lambda \cap V_{n-k+i-a_i}$ and such that

$$\langle v_i, e_{n-k+j-a_j} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & j < i, \\ 1, & j = i. \end{cases}$$

$$\lceil \langle v_i \rangle = \Lambda \cap V_{n-k+i-a_i} \quad \langle w, v_i \rangle = \Lambda \cap V_{n-k+2-a_2}$$

$$\Rightarrow v_2 = \alpha v_1 + \beta w. \quad 0 = \langle v_2, e_{n-k+1-a_1} \rangle = \alpha \langle v_1, e_{n-k+1-a_1} \rangle +$$