

Let $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \dots \varphi_n\}$ be a unitary local frame around z_0 .
s.t. $d\varphi_i = 0$ at z_0 .

Define $e_k, \bar{e}_k, \bar{L}_k, \bar{I}_k$ as follows;

$$e_k(\varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J) = \varphi_k \wedge \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J$$

$$\bar{e}_k(\varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J) = \bar{\varphi}_k \wedge \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J.$$

and L_k, \bar{L}_k are adjoints of e_k, \bar{e}_k respectively.

Then $L(\eta) = \eta \wedge \omega$ can be expressed as

$$\frac{\bar{I}}{2} \sum e_k \bar{e}_k. \quad \text{Its adjoint } \Lambda \text{ may be expressed as } -\frac{\bar{I}}{2} \sum \bar{L}_k \bar{I}_k.$$

Define ∂_k and $\bar{\partial}_k$ on $A^{p,q}(M)$ by

$$\partial_k(\sum \eta_{I\bar{J}} \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J) = \sum \eta_{I\bar{J}} \varphi_k \wedge \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\partial}_k(\sum \eta_{I\bar{J}} \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J) = \sum \eta_{I\bar{J}} \varphi_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_k \wedge \bar{\varphi}_J.$$

\Rightarrow Note that ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ commute with $e_k, \bar{e}_k, \bar{I}_k$ and \bar{L}_k and with each other.

$$L_k e_k + e_k \bar{I}_k = \partial$$

$$e_k \bar{I}_l + \bar{I}_l e_k = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq l$$

$$e_k \bar{L}_l + \bar{L}_l e_k = 0 \quad \text{for all } k, l.$$

* If we assume that the adjoint of ∂_k is $-\bar{\partial}_k$, the adjoint of $\bar{\partial}_k$ is assumed to be $-\partial_k$.

\Rightarrow By the computation on P114, we know that

$$[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}'] = -\bar{I} \partial'^*, \text{ where } \bar{\partial}' = \sum \bar{\partial}_k \bar{e}_k, \partial'^* = -\sum \partial_k \bar{L}_k.$$