

To show that the left-hand side depends only on the image of  $\bar{z}$  under the natural projection

$$\bigoplus_{m=0}^{K+1} (\Lambda^{K+1-m} \Lambda^\perp \otimes \Lambda^m W^*) = \Lambda^{K+1} V^* \longrightarrow \Lambda^{K+1} W^*,$$

we have only to prove that  $\langle \bar{i}(\bar{z}) \Lambda, \omega \rangle = 0$  for  $\bar{z} \in \Lambda^k W^* \otimes \Lambda^\perp$ ,  $\omega \in W$ , since we can see easily  $\langle \bar{i}(\bar{z}) \Lambda, \omega \rangle = 0$  for  $\bar{z} \in \bigoplus_{m+n=K+1} \Lambda^m W^* \otimes \Lambda^n \Lambda^\perp$ ,  $\omega \in W$ .

we may assume that  
By linearity,  $\forall \bar{z} = l \otimes \omega_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k^* = l \wedge \omega_1^* \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_k^*$  (in  $\Lambda^{K+1} V^*$ ).

$$\Rightarrow \langle \bar{i}(l \wedge \omega^*) \Lambda, \omega \rangle = \langle l \wedge \omega^*, \Lambda \wedge \omega \rangle$$

For simplicity,  $k=2$ , and let  $\Lambda = v_1 \wedge v_2$ .

$$\Rightarrow \langle l \wedge \omega^*, \Lambda \wedge \omega \rangle = \langle l \wedge \omega_1^* \wedge \omega_2^*, v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \omega \rangle$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} l(v_1), l(v_2), l(\omega) \\ \omega_1^*(v_1), \omega_1^*(v_2), \omega_1^*(\omega) \\ \omega_2^*(v_1), \omega_2^*(v_2), \omega_2^*(\omega) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{since } l=0 \text{ on } W$$

( $\because l \in \Lambda^\perp$ )

$$= -\omega_1^*(\omega) \det \begin{pmatrix} l(v_1), l(v_2) \\ \omega_2^*(v_1), \omega_2^*(v_2) \end{pmatrix} + \omega_2^*(\omega) \det \begin{pmatrix} l(v_1), l(v_2) \\ \omega_1^*(v_1), \omega_1^*(v_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= -\omega_1^*(\omega) \langle l \wedge \omega_2^*, v_1 \wedge v_2 \rangle + \omega_2^*(\omega) \langle l \wedge \omega_1^*, v_1 \wedge v_2 \rangle$$

$$= -\omega_1^*(\omega) \langle l \wedge \omega_2^*, \Lambda \rangle + \omega_2^*(\omega) \langle l \wedge \omega_1^*, \Lambda \rangle$$

$$= -\omega_1^*(\omega) \langle \Lambda, l \wedge \omega_2^* \rangle + \omega_2^*(\omega) \langle \Lambda, l \wedge \omega_1^* \rangle$$

$$= -\omega_1^*(\omega) \langle \bar{i}(l) \Lambda, \omega_2^* \rangle + \omega_2^*(\omega) \langle \bar{i}(l) \Lambda, \omega_1^* \rangle \dots (*)$$

But by the definition of  $\Lambda^\perp = \{ v^* \in V^* \mid \bar{i}(v^*) \Lambda = 0 \}$  and the fact that  $l \in \Lambda^\perp$ ,  $(*)$  becomes zero,