

factor. $\Rightarrow \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(LC)) = 1 \Rightarrow \dim |f_P(n)| = 0$
which contradicts to the assumption $\dim |L| = \dim |f_P(n)| > 0$.

Looks better !!!

One more thing.

By comparing with P36 (def of $f_P(U)$) and P703. I think $f_P(K_S + L)$ is not correct. $f_P(K_S + L)$ should be rep

laced by $I \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}(K_S)$, where I is the sheaf of ideals generated by s & $s' \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}(L)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(L))$.

Maybe, the authors tried to apply the assertion to the Reciprocity Formula I.

When $|L|$ has no base curves, for general curves $C, C' \in |f_P(n)|$ $C \cap C'$ must be a set of points. I want to make it clearer, by considering an example.

First, note that

since

$|L| = \{ \pi^*C - E \}$, $|f_P(n)|$ has no base curves $\Rightarrow \{ f \mid (f=0) \in |f_P(n)| \}$ has no common factor. For example, $\{ f_1, f_2, f_3 \}$ has no common factor. Let

$f_3 = g_1 g_2$, g_1, g_2 irreducible. Consider $f_1 + \alpha f_2, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose g_1 divides $f_1 + \alpha_0 f_2$, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, and

g_1 divides $f_1 + \alpha'_0 f_2$, $\alpha'_0 \neq \alpha_0 \Rightarrow g_1$ must divide f_2 , and so does for $f_1 \Rightarrow f_1, f_2$ and f_3 have a

common factor $g_1 \Rightarrow$ Contradiction. $\Rightarrow \exists$ no other constant α s.t. $f_1 + \alpha f_2$ has g_1 as a

factor. Similarly, for $g_2 \Rightarrow$ This implies that

for generic $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_1 + \alpha f_2$ has

neither g_1