

Note that, implicitly,  $\mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_p}) = 0$  if  $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  where  $i \neq j$ .

So any cocycle  $\sigma$  must satisfy the skew-symmetry condition.

$$\sigma_{\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_p} = -\sigma_{\bar{u}_0, \dots, \bar{u}_{q-1}, \bar{u}_{q+1}, \bar{u}_q, \bar{u}_{q+2}, \dots, \bar{u}_p}.$$

$$\left( \begin{aligned} (\delta \sigma)_{\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_{q-1}, \underline{\bar{u}}_q, \bar{u}_{q+1}, \underline{\bar{u}}_q, \bar{u}_{q+2}, \dots, \bar{u}_p} &= 0 \in \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_{q-1}} \cap U_{\alpha_q} \cap U_{\alpha_{q+1}} \cap U_{\alpha_{q+2}} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_p}) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \sigma_{\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_{q-1}, \bar{u}_{q+1}, \bar{u}_q, \bar{u}_{q+2}, \dots, \bar{u}_p} + \sigma_{\bar{u}_0, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_{q-1}, \bar{u}_q, \bar{u}_{q+1}, \dots, \bar{u}_p} \end{aligned} \right)$$

$\sigma$  is called a coboundary if  $\sigma = \delta \tau$  for some  $\tau \in C^{p-1}(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})$ . It is easy to see that  $\delta^2 = 0$ .

We set

$$Z^p(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \ker \delta \subset C^p(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F}).$$

$$\text{and } H^p(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{Z^p(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})}{\delta C^{p-1}(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F})}.$$

Given two coverings  $\underline{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  &  $\underline{U}' = \{U'_\beta\}_{\beta \in I'}$  of  $M$ ,

we say that  $\underline{U}'$  is a refinement of  $\underline{U}$  if for every  $\beta \in I'$ ,  $\exists \alpha \in I$  s.t.  $U'_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ ; we write  $\underline{U}' < \underline{U}$ .

If  $\underline{U}' < \underline{U}$ , we can choose a map  $\varphi: I' \rightarrow I$  s.t.  $U'_\beta \subset U_{\varphi(\beta)}$  for all  $\beta$ ; then we have a map

$$p_\varphi: C^p(\underline{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^p(\underline{U}', \mathcal{F}) \text{ given by}$$

$$(p_\varphi \sigma)_{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_p} = \sigma_{\varphi(\beta_0), \dots, \varphi(\beta_p)} | U_{\beta_0} \cap U_{\beta_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\beta_p}.$$