

to show ^{that} $M - W - f^{-1}(V)$ is biholomorphic to $P^n - V - g^{-1}(W)$, by the proposition on p19.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f: M - W - f^{-1}(V) & \longrightarrow & P^n - V - g^{-1}(W) \\ \downarrow & & \\ z & \longmapsto & [1, f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)] \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow f$ gives a local coordinate on open dense subset $f^{-1}(U_0 \cap (P^n - V - g^{-1}(W)))$.

Conversely, suppose that \exists n meromorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_n on M providing local coordinates almost everywhere.

Let $M \xrightarrow{f} P^n$ be defined by

$$z \longmapsto [1, f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)].$$

\Rightarrow According to the argument on p491,

$$M - V \xrightarrow{f} P^n \text{ is holomorphic.}$$

By the assumption, $M - V \xrightarrow{f} \text{im } f \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is biholomorphic, where $P^n - f(M - V)$ is of measure zero.

$\Rightarrow \exists g: P^n - W \longrightarrow M$ holomorphic s.t. $g \circ f$ & $f \circ g$ are identities as rational maps.

Example. $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}$
 $z \longmapsto z^2.$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{C} - \{0\} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ is locally biholomorphic, but f is not birational, since \exists no rational inverse. \hookrightarrow

Note that a rational map $f: M \longrightarrow N$ is birational if and only if it is generically one to one: if, for generic