

(e), (e), and (f) are also sufficient. For example, if $E \subset \mathcal{D}_K$ and $\|\phi\|_N \leq M_N < \infty$ for every $\phi \in E$, then E is a bounded subset of \mathcal{D}_K (Section 1.46), and now (b) implies that E is also bounded in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

¶ To show that E is bounded in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, given a nbd V of 0 in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we have to show that $\exists s \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. if $\forall t > s$, $tV \supset E$, see p 8.

$V \cap \mathcal{D}_K$ is open in \mathcal{D}_K and $V \cap \mathcal{D}_K \ni 0$. \Rightarrow Since E is bounded in \mathcal{D}_K , $\exists s \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. if $\forall t > s$, then $t(V \cap \mathcal{D}_K) \supset E$. \Rightarrow For all $t > s$, $tV \supset E$. $\Rightarrow E$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

Suppose $\{\phi_i\} \subset \mathcal{D}_K$ for some compact $K \subset \Omega$, and

$$\lim_{i,j \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_i - \phi_j\|_N = 0 \quad (N = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then $\{\phi_i\}$ is Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

pf). Given an open set $V \ni 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, $V \cap \mathcal{D}_K$ is open in \mathcal{D}_K . $\Rightarrow \exists N$ s.t. if $n, m > N$, then $\phi_n - \phi_m \in V \cap \mathcal{D}_K$. $\Rightarrow \phi_n - \phi_m \in V \Rightarrow \{\phi_i\}$ is C.S. in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. \square

proof. Suppose first that $V \in \tau$. Pick $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K \cap V$. By Theorem 6.4, $\phi + W \subset V$ for some $W \in \beta$. Hence

$$\phi + (\mathcal{D}_K \cap W) \subset \mathcal{D}_K \cap V.$$

¶ $\phi + (\mathcal{D}_K \cap W) \subset \mathcal{D}_K \cap V$ clearly \square

Since $\mathcal{D}_K \cap W$ is open in \mathcal{D}_K , we have proved that