

By our commutation relations, every term in the first sum is zero; for the second, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_k \bar{e}_k \bar{L}_k i_k &= 2 e_k \bar{L}_k - e_k \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k i_k \quad (e_k i_k + i_k e_k = 2) \\ \bar{L}_k L_k e_k \bar{e}_k &= 2 \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k - \bar{L}_k e_k L_k \bar{e}_k \end{aligned}$$

and, since $e_k \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k L_k = \bar{L}_k e_k L_k \bar{e}_k$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} [L, \Lambda] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (e_k i_k - \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (2 - L_k e_k - \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k) \\ &= n - \frac{1}{2} (\sum (L_k e_k + \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k)). \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate this, note that $L_k e_k (dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K)$ is zero if $k \in J$, and $2 dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K$ otherwise;
 $\bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k (dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K)$ is zero if $k \in K$ and $2 dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K$ if not. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k (L_k e_k + \bar{L}_k \bar{e}_k) (dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K) &= 2 \sum_{k \in J} dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K + 2 \sum_{k \notin K} dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K \\ &= (2(n - \#J) + 2(n - \#K)) (dz_J \wedge d\bar{z}_K), \end{aligned}$$

and so on $A_c^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

$$[L, \Lambda] = p + q - n, \quad \text{where } \begin{aligned} p &= \#J \\ q &= \#K \end{aligned}.$$

Since L and Λ are both algebraic operators, this identity will hold on any Kähler manifold.

[See P.202 of this note]

Now set

$$h = \sum_{p=0}^{2n} (n-p) \pi^p;$$