

$$= C \left(\frac{\bar{L}}{2} \right)^{n-p} (-1)^{\frac{(n-p-1)(n-p)}{2}} (-1)^{(n-p)p} \sum_I |f_I|^2 \varphi_I \wedge \varphi_n \wedge \bar{\varphi}_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_n$$

Since $\varphi_I \wedge \varphi_K = \epsilon \in \Xi$ $\bar{\varphi}_I \wedge \bar{\varphi}_K = \epsilon' \in \Xi'$, $\Xi \wedge \Xi' = \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_n \wedge \bar{\varphi}_1 \wedge \bar{\varphi}_n$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \int \zeta \wedge \bar{\zeta} \wedge \omega^{n-p}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\bar{L}}{2} \right)^{n-p} (-1)^{\frac{(n-p-1)(n-p)}{2} + (n-p)p} \int \sum_I |f_I|^2 \Xi \wedge \Xi'$$

$$\text{Since } (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (\bar{L})^n \int \sum_I |f_I|^2 \Xi \wedge \Xi' > 0 \text{ (see } P \text{ do)},$$

$$\bar{L}^p (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} Q(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \bar{L}^p (-1)^{p/2(p-1)} \left(\frac{\bar{L}}{2} \right)^{n-p} (-1)^{\frac{(n-p-1)(n-p)}{2} + (n-p)p} \\ \times \int \sum_I |f_I|^2 \Xi \wedge \Xi' = \left\{ \frac{\bar{L}^n}{2^{n-p}} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int \sum_I |f_I|^2 \Xi \wedge \Xi' \right\} \times (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + (n-p)p +}$$

$$\frac{(n-p-1)(n-p)}{2} \}. \Rightarrow \text{Since } \frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + \frac{2(n-p)p + (n-p-1)(n-p)}{2} = \\ \frac{p^2 - p + n^2 - n + 2np - 2p^2 + (n-p)^2 - n + p}{2} = \frac{p^2 - p + n^2 - n + 2np - 2p^2 + n^2 + p^2 - 2pn - n + p}{2} \\ = \frac{2n^2 - 2n}{2} = n^2 - n = \text{even number.}, \bar{L}^p (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} Q(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) > 0.$$

In fact, it was in effect by deducing the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations for holomorphic q -forms that we first proved that the holomorphic forms inject into the cohomology of a compact Kähler manifold.

Now let $\dim M = 2$; it remains only to prove the bilinear relations for $P^{1,1}$. Let ζ be a real, primitive harmonic $(1,1)$ -form; in terms of a local unitary coframe φ_1, φ_2 , we write

$$\zeta = \sum \zeta_{ij} \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j.$$

$$\text{Since } \zeta \text{ is real, } \bar{\zeta} = \zeta \Rightarrow \sum \zeta_{ij} \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j = \sum \bar{\zeta}_{ij} \bar{\varphi}_i \wedge \varphi_j \\ = - \sum \bar{\zeta}_{ji} \varphi_i \wedge \bar{\varphi}_j. \Rightarrow \zeta_{ij} = - \bar{\zeta}_{ji}.$$