

It plays the same role as ∂^* on $d\bar{z}_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$.
 \Rightarrow According to P 113, $\partial^* = -\sum \bar{\partial}_k i_k = \bar{\partial}^*$ 204.

\Rightarrow Thus $-\bar{i} \partial^* = -\bar{i} \bar{\partial}^*$ at z_0 . \searrow

Now, some consequences: if $\Delta_d = dd^* + d^*d$ is the d -Laplacian, we have

$$[L, \Delta_d] = 0 \quad \text{or equivalently}$$

$$[\Lambda, \Delta_d] = 0.$$

pf) First note that since ω is closed,

$$-d(L\eta) = d(\omega \wedge \eta) = \omega \wedge d\eta = -L(d\eta)$$

$$\text{i.e. } [L, d] = 0$$

$$\text{and so by taking adjoints } [\Lambda, d^*] = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \Lambda(dd^* + d^*d) &= \Lambda dd^* + \Lambda d^*d, \text{ since } [\Lambda, d] = -4\pi d^{c*} \\ &= (d\Lambda - 4\pi d^{c*})d^* + \Lambda d^*d = d\Lambda d^* - 4\pi d^{c*}d^* + \Lambda d^*d \\ &= d\Lambda d^* + 4\pi d^*d^{c*} + \Lambda d^*d \\ &= d\Lambda d^* + 4\pi d^*d^{c*} + d^*\Lambda d \\ &= dd^*\Lambda + d^*(4\pi d^{c*} + \Lambda d) = dd^*\Lambda + d^*d\Lambda = \Delta\Lambda. \text{ Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

We also have, as mentioned earlier,

$$\Delta_d = 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = 2\Delta_{\partial}.$$

pf) First we show that $\partial\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\partial = 0$: since $\Lambda\partial - \partial\Lambda = i\bar{\partial}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{i}(\partial\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\partial) &= \partial(\Lambda\partial - \partial\Lambda) + (\Lambda\partial - \partial\Lambda)\partial \\ &= \partial\Lambda\partial - \partial^2\Lambda - \partial\Lambda\partial = 0 \end{aligned}$$