

$\sigma(-p) = \sigma(p)$. Here we have to make clear one thing, in $\text{Pic}^0(B) = A$, the involution $\mu \mapsto -\mu$ on A corresponds to the involution $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ on $\text{Pic}^0(B)$.
 \Rightarrow By the above, $2(\mathbb{H}) + \lambda = 1(p - p_0 + \lambda)$ is invariant under $\mu \mapsto -\mu$. $\Rightarrow p_i - p_0 + \lambda \sim -p_i + p_0 - \lambda$

$$\Rightarrow p_i - p_0 + 2\lambda \sim 0 \Rightarrow 4p_i - 4p_0 + 2\lambda \sim 0$$

Since $2p_i - 2p_0 \sim 0$, $2\lambda \sim 0$

\Rightarrow By considering $(\sigma=0) = \mathbb{H}$, we have
 $-p + p_0 - \lambda \sim q - p_0 + \lambda$, i.e., for each $p \in B$, $\exists q \in B$ s.t. $p + q - 2p_0 - 2\lambda \sim 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{1} p = p_0 \Rightarrow q^0 - p_0 \sim 2\lambda$$

$$\textcircled{2} p = p_i \Rightarrow p_i + q^i - 2p_0 \sim 2\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow q^0 - p_0 \sim p_i + q^i - 2p_0 \Rightarrow$$

$$p_i + q^i \sim p_0 + q^0$$

\Rightarrow By the arguments on P262 note, $\forall h^0(p_i + q^i) = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow p_i = q^0 \quad \& \quad q^i = p_0 \Rightarrow p_i - p_0 \sim 2\lambda \text{ for all } i$$

\Rightarrow Contradiction.

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } p_i = q^i, \text{ then } q^0 = p^0 \Rightarrow 2\lambda \sim 0$$

\Rightarrow Since λ is a point of order 2, it is one of $\frac{16}{2}$ half-lattices μ_i, μ_{ij} . \Rightarrow By the result on P312, since $[2(\mathbb{H})]$ is invariant under the translations τ 's, $\tau \in \{\mu_i, \mu_{ij}\}$. $\Rightarrow |2(\mathbb{H}) + \lambda| = |2(\mathbb{H})|$, in case $\lambda = \mu_i$ or μ_{ij} .

In particular, then the divisors $2(\mathbb{H})_i, 2(\mathbb{H})_{ij}$ are all elements of the linear series $|2(\mathbb{H})|$; and