

$$\sum_{\alpha < \beta} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \otimes U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{i}(U_r^*)(U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}) = ?$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \bar{i}(U_r^*)(U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}), \bar{Z} \rangle = \langle U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}, U_r^* \wedge \bar{Z} \rangle$$

$$\text{Here } \bar{Z} \in U^*. \Rightarrow \bar{Z} = \sum a_{\sigma} U_{\sigma}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}, U_r^* \wedge \bar{Z} \rangle = \langle U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}, U_r^* \wedge a_{\sigma} U_{\sigma}^* \rangle$$

$$= a_{\sigma} \langle U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}, U_r^* \wedge U_{\sigma}^* \rangle$$

$$= a_{\sigma} \delta_{\alpha r} \delta_{\beta \sigma} \text{ or } a_{\sigma} \delta_{\alpha \sigma} \delta_{\beta r}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{i}(U_{\alpha}^*)(U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}) = U_{\beta} \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{i}(U_{\beta}^*)(U_{\beta} \wedge U_{\alpha}) = U_{\alpha}.$$

$$\bar{i}(U_r^*)(U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \neq r, \alpha \neq r.$$

$$\bar{i}(U_{\alpha}^*)(\sum \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \otimes U_{\alpha} \wedge U_{\beta}) = \sum_{\beta} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} \otimes U_{\beta} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \text{ and a fixed } \alpha.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Consequently, } \Lambda_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Similarly, we get the same results for the other factors of } \Lambda. \Rightarrow \Lambda \in \Lambda^k W. \quad \square$$

It is easy to see that  $W$  is the minimal such subspace. Q.E.D.

⌈ Suppose  $W' \subset W$  is a subspace s.t.  $\Lambda$  is in the image of  $\Lambda^k W' \rightarrow \Lambda^k V$ .

$$\Rightarrow \text{We may express } W = W' \oplus W'' \text{ \&}$$

$$V = W \oplus V' = W' \oplus W'' \oplus V' = W' \oplus T$$

$$\text{where } T = W'' \oplus V'.$$

In the proof that  $\Lambda$  lies in  $\Lambda^k W$ ,

as we saw above,  $\bar{i}(U_{\alpha}^*) \Lambda = 0$  for all  $\alpha$ .