

Is it well-defined? Yes, since  $\forall \alpha \beta \ S_{i,\beta} = S_{i,\alpha}$ .

Since each  $S_{i,\alpha}$  is holomorphic,  $f$  is holomorphic.

← { Locally,  $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  is given as below.

$$\left\{ \frac{S_{2,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}(z_1 \dots z_n) = -\lambda_1 \right\} \cap \left\{ \frac{S_{3,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}(z_1 \dots z_n) = -\lambda_2 \right\}.$$

Singular point  $P_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  in  $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  means that

$$\frac{\partial \frac{S_{2,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}}{\partial z_i}(P_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \text{ or}$$

$$\frac{\partial \frac{S_{3,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}}{\partial z_i}(P_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}) = 0 \text{ for all } i.$$

Assume  $\lambda_1 \neq 0, \infty$ ,  $\lambda_2 \neq 0, \infty$ . ( $\because \#(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}'s) < \infty$   
in case  $\lambda_i = 0, \infty$ )

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial \frac{S_{2,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}}{\partial z_i}(z_1 \dots z_n) = 0 \right\} = A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial \frac{S_{3,\alpha}}{S_{1,\alpha}}}{\partial z_i}(z_1 \dots z_n) = 0 \right\} = B_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$$

We have only to show that  $U A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \cup B_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  meets only a finite # of  $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ 's.

To do this, we need to prove that each  $U A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  meets only a finite # of  $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ 's.

Again, we can prove that the singular locus  $V$  minus  $B$  is analytic subvariety of  $M$ .

$\Rightarrow V - B = \cup C_\alpha$ .  $\Rightarrow$  Again by the same argument, we can conclude  $U A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  meets only a finite # of  $D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$ 's.  
 $\Rightarrow$  We proved the desired.