

All these assertions are implicit in the following cohomological interpretation of the correspondence [1]. The exact sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{M}^* \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{on } M$$

gives us, in part, the exact sequence

$$H^0(M, \mathcal{M}^*) \rightarrow H^0(M, \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(M, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^1(M, \mathcal{M}^*)$$

of cohomology groups. The reader may easily verify that under the natural identifications

$$\text{Div}(M) = H^0(M, \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Pic}(M) = H^1(M, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

for any meromorphic function f on M , $j_* f = (f)$,

and for any divisor D on M , $\delta D = [D]$.

Indeed, we will generally violate the previous multiplicative notation and write $L + L'$ for the tensor product of two line bundles or mL for the m th tensor power $L^{\otimes m}$ of L .

① $f \in H^0(M, \mathcal{M}^*) \Rightarrow$ What is $j_* f \in H^0(M, \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*)$?

\exists an open cover $\{U_\alpha\} = U$, s.t.

$$H^0(U, \mathcal{M}^*) \xrightarrow{j_*} H^0(U, \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

and $\delta \circ j_*(f) = 0$.

Let $f|_{U_\alpha} = f_\alpha$ for each α .

$$C^0(U, \mathcal{M}^*) \xrightarrow{j} C^0(U, \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*)$$

$$(f_\alpha) \mapsto (j \circ f_\alpha)$$

$$f_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^*(U_\alpha)$$

$$j \circ f_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^*/\mathcal{O}^*(U_\alpha)$$

\Rightarrow If we go to refinement of U ,

$$j \circ f_\alpha \in \frac{\mathcal{M}^*(U'_\alpha)}{\mathcal{O}^*(U'_\alpha)}$$