

\mathbb{R} Locally, $\frac{i}{2\pi} \Theta = i a dz \wedge d\bar{z}$, since $\dim M = 1$,

$a < 0$ for all z , see P 29.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int_M i a dz \wedge d\bar{z} &= i \int a (dx + i dy) \wedge (dx - i dy) \\ &= i \int a (-2i) dx \wedge dy = 2 \int a dx \wedge dy < 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

But if $s \neq 0 \in H^0(M, \mathcal{O}(L))$, then L is the line bundle associated to the effective divisor $D = (s)$, and we have

$$c_1(L) = \deg D \geq 0, \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

As an immediate consequence of the vanishing theorem, we see that

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(kH)) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq n-1, \text{ all } k.$$

This follows directly from the dualized version of the vanishing theorem in case k is negative; if k is nonnegative,

$$\begin{aligned} H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(kH)) &= H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n(kH - K_{\mathbb{P}^n})) \\ &= H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^n((k+n+1)H)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the original version of the theorem.

\mathbb{R} (1) k is negative.

$[H]$ is positive line bundle (See P 150)

$\Rightarrow [kH]$ is negative since k is negative.

\Rightarrow By the dualized version of the vanishing theorem,

$$H^q(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(kH)) = 0 \quad \text{if } 0+q \leq n-1 < n.$$