

and satisfies

$$m_p(Z, W) \geq \text{mult}_p(Z) \text{mult}_p(W).$$

Proof. We first argue that we may assume  $W$  to be smooth. For this we consider the product  $M \times M$ . By the formal properties of the Künneth formula and Poincaré duality,

$$Z \cdot W = (Z \times W) \cdot \Delta,$$

where the right-hand side is the intersection number in  $M \times M$  of  $Z \times W$  with the diagonal  $\Delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{According to p 59, } \bar{i}_{pp}(Z \times W \cdot \Delta) &= (-1)^{2n-2p} \bar{i}_p(Z \cdot W) \\ &= \bar{i}_p(Z \cdot W). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z \cdot W = (Z \times W) \cdot \Delta \quad \text{We don't need anything famous!}$$

Set-theoretically,

$$(Z \times W) \cap \Delta = \{(p, p) : p \in Z \cap W\}.$$

Also, it has been established in Section 1 of Chapter 0 that

$$\text{mult}_{p \times q}(Z \times W) = \text{mult}_p(Z) \text{mult}_q(W).$$

It follows from the definition of multiplicity on p 22

Since the diagonal is smooth, the general case is therefore reduced to the situation when  $W$  is smooth.