

Conversely, of course, any pencil of lines in X containing l_x has its focus on l_x , and hence in $l_x \cap S$.

Let L be a pencil in X containing l_x .

$$\Rightarrow L = \sigma(p, h), \quad p \in l_x \subset h.$$

$$\Rightarrow L \subset X \cap \sigma(p) \Rightarrow X_p = X \cap \sigma(p) \text{ is singular}$$

$$\Rightarrow p \in S \Rightarrow l_x \cap S \ni p$$

□

Thus, if we make the assumption that the generic line l_x does not lie on two confocal pencils of X , the points of intersection of l_x with S correspond exactly to the lines of L on X through x .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} l_x \cap S & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & \text{Set of lines in } X \text{ passing through } x \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ p & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & L(p). \quad \begin{array}{l} F \cap \sigma(p) = L(p) \cup l_x \\ L(p) \ni x. \end{array} \\ q & \xleftarrow{\psi_1} & K \end{array}$$

Given a line K in X passing through x , by the argument above, the focus q of $K \in l_x \cap S$.

$$\Rightarrow \psi_1 \circ \psi_2 \text{ \& } \psi_2 \circ \psi_1 \text{ are identities. } \Rightarrow$$

$$l_x \cap S \xrightarrow{1-1} \text{Set of lines in } X \text{ passing through } x.$$

□