

then P defines a current $T_P \in \mathcal{D}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$T_P(\varphi) = \int_P \varphi, \quad \varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In general, if we call the support of the current T the smallest closed set S such that $T(\varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S)$, then clearly $\text{supp}(T_P) = P$.

\square $T = 0$ on $A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_1)$ and $A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_2)$.

Claim: $T = 0$ on $A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_1 \cap S_2)$.

Given $\varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_1 \cap S_2)$, $\exists r$ s.t. $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B(0, r)$.

Consider $\{S_1^c, S_2^c\}$ & $\{S_1^c \cap \overline{B(0, r)}, S_2^c \cap \overline{B(0, r)}\}$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \psi_1, \psi_2$ on $\overline{B(0, r)}$ s.t. $\text{supp } \psi_1 \subset S_1^c \cap \overline{B(0, r)}$
 $\text{supp } \psi_2 \subset S_2^c \cap \overline{B(0, r)}, \quad \psi_1 + \psi_2 = 1$ on $\overline{B(0, r)}$.

$\Rightarrow \varphi = (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \varphi = \psi_1 \varphi + \psi_2 \varphi. \Rightarrow \psi_1 \varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_1)$
 and $\psi_2 \varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_2). \Rightarrow T(\varphi) = T(\psi_1 \varphi + \psi_2 \varphi)$
 $= T(\psi_1 \varphi) + T(\psi_2 \varphi) = 0$.

$\{S\}$ = collection of all closed subsets s.t. $T = 0$ on $A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S)$.
 \Rightarrow Every chain has a lower bound. \Rightarrow By Zorn's lemma, \exists minimal element S_0 . S_0 will be the smallest closed set s.t. $T = 0$ on $A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S_0)$.

We proved that $\{S\}$ is partially ordered set. No!

Anyway, we proved something. So hot!, today.

Clearly, $T_P(\varphi) = 0$ if $\varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - P)$. If we have $S \subset P$, $S^c \cap P$ contains a piece of smooth $(n-q)$ chain P .

\Rightarrow We can construct $\varphi \in A_c^{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n - S)$ s.t.

$\int_P \varphi \neq 0$ by using a real nonnegative bump function.

$\Rightarrow T_P = P.$

\square