

$$E_r \cong 0, \quad E_r' \cong 0.$$

A_r is just the determinant Δ .

Γ

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_1' \in E_k' & \xrightarrow{\partial} & E_{k-1}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_k & \xrightarrow{\partial} & E_{k-1} \end{array}$$

$$A_k(e_1') = A_1(e_{11}') \wedge \cdots \wedge A_1(e_{1k}') \\ \text{"}$$

$$\sum a_{i,j_i} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{i,k,j_k} e_{j_k} = a_{i,j_i} \cdots a_{i,k,j_k} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k}$$

$$\partial(a_{i,j_i} \cdots a_{i,k,j_k} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k}) = a_{i,j_i} \cdots a_{i,k,j_k} (-1)^{u-1} f_{j_u} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{j_u} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial e_1' &= \sum (-1)^{u-1} f_{j_u}' e_{11}' \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{j_u}' \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{1k}' \\ \Rightarrow A_{k-1}(\partial e_1') &= \sum (-1)^{u-1} f_{j_u}' A_1(e_{11}') \wedge \cdots \wedge A_1(e_{1u}') \wedge \cdots \wedge A_1(e_{1k}') \\ &= (-1)^{u-1} f_{j_u}' a_{i,j_i} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{a}_{i,j_u} e_{j_u} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{i,k,j_k} e_{j_k} \\ &= (-1)^{u-1} f_{j_u}' a_{i,j_i} \cdots \hat{a}_{i,j_u} \cdots a_{i,k,j_k} e_{j_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{j_u} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k} \\ &= \partial(A_k(e_1')) \text{ by replacing by } f_{j_u}' = a_{i,j_u} f_{j_u} \end{aligned}$$

$$A_r : E_r' \longrightarrow E_r'$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1' \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r' &\longmapsto A_1(e_1') \wedge \cdots \wedge A_1(e_r') \\ &= \Delta e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_r \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the injectivity. Before doing this, it might be instructive to verify directly th-