

In fact, we showed that $L \subset G$ in the proof of ②, i.e., in the proof of $x'' \in G$. And by the same argument, $L \subset F \Rightarrow L \subset G \cap F$.

I think: To prove Σ is smooth, the point is that $L \subset G \cap F$. But in proving this, the authors made a mistake, I guess. $M: G \rightarrow G$ is not clear, and so on. \dots

One more thing: Since $L = \overline{xx'}$ and $Mx = x'$ & $Mx' = x''$ lie on L , $M: L \rightarrow L$.

⌋

M must have a fixed point y somewhere on L , i.e., for some $y \in L$,

$$Qy = Q'y.$$

\mathbb{F} M is linear \Rightarrow (i) $M \in PGL(2) = GL(2)/\mathbb{C}^*$, since $L = \mathbb{P}^1$ or (ii) $M \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^2/\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^*$.

\Rightarrow (i) $\xrightarrow{\text{case}} M \sim \text{id} \Rightarrow L(M) = \text{Lefschetz number of } M = L(\text{id}) = 2 > 0 \Rightarrow M \text{ has a fixed point by Lefschetz Fixed-Point formula on } \mathbb{P}^1$

(ii) case $\Rightarrow M \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow L(M) = \text{trace of } M^*|H^0 + \text{tr}(M^*|H^2) = 1 + 0 = 1$

$\Rightarrow M$ has a fixed point.

⌋

But since $L \subset F \cap G$, this implies that F and G are tangent