

dependent of u_k , otherwise $d\zeta'$ contains $f_J d u_J$ term where $J \ni k$, \Rightarrow but f_J can not have a simple pole in u_k and so $f_J d u_J$ can not be cancelled.
 $\Rightarrow \zeta'$ is a form in only u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1} . \Rightarrow

Now $\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ in $H_{DR}^q((\Delta^*)^k)$, and thus the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to $H_{DR}^q((\Delta^*)^{k-1})$ is zero, where $(\Delta^*)^{k-1} \subset (\Delta^*)^k$ is given by $u_k = \text{constant}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Delta^*)^{k-1} & \xrightarrow{L} & (\Delta^*)^k \\ H_{DR}^q((\Delta^*)^k) & \xrightarrow{L^*} & H_{DR}^q((\Delta^*)^{k-1}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi} & \longmapsto & \downarrow L^*(\tilde{\varphi}) \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

This restriction is just ζ' , and by induction $\zeta' = d\tau'$, where τ' has at most a pole in u' .

$$\begin{aligned} L^* \tilde{\varphi} &= L^* \left(\zeta' + \zeta'' \wedge \frac{du_k}{u_k} \right) \\ &= L^* (\zeta') + L^* \zeta'' \wedge L^* \left(\frac{du_k}{u_k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Since $L^*(du_k) = d(L^*(u_k)) = d(\text{constant}) = 0$,
 and $L^* \zeta' = \zeta'$ ($\because \zeta'$ is a form in only u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}),

$$L^* \tilde{\varphi} = \zeta'$$

\Rightarrow By induction, the theorem (*) holds for $u' = (u_1, \dots, u_{k-1})$. ζ' is a closed form in u' .
 $\Rightarrow \zeta' = d\tau'$, where τ' has no essential singularity.