

22f

$$\zeta \in H^n(M) \Rightarrow \zeta = \bigoplus L^k \zeta_{n-2k}$$

$$\eta \in H^k(M) \Rightarrow \eta = \bigoplus L^k \eta_{m-2k}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\zeta, \eta) = \sum_K Q(L^K \zeta_{m-2K}, L^K \eta_{m-2K})$$

↓ by P24. Key Point.

$$Q(3, \eta) = \sum_{k, l} Q(L^k \{ \eta_{n-2k}, L^l \eta_{n-2l} \}) \quad \downarrow \text{by P224. Key Point.} \quad \text{See P202. Wells. } \Downarrow$$

If $\zeta = \bigoplus L^k \zeta_{m-2k} \in H^m(M)$ s.t. $Q(\zeta, \eta) = 0$ for all $\eta \in H^m(M)$, choose $\eta = L^k \bar{\zeta}_{m-2k}$.

$$\Rightarrow Q(\zeta, L^k \overline{z}_{m-2k}) = Q(L^k \zeta_{n-2k}, L^k \overline{z}_{m-2k})$$

$$= Q(\xi_{m-2k}, \overline{\xi_{m-2k}}) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } \xi_{m-2k} \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{m=2K} = 0$$

Similarly, we can show $\zeta_{m-2k} = 0$ for all k .

$$\Rightarrow \zeta = 0.$$

This proves that Q is non-degenerate.

Comment: On p123, Griffiths said that he can define a bilinear form

$$Q: H^{m-k}(M) \otimes H^{m-k}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by setting $Q(\zeta, \eta) = \int_M \zeta \wedge \eta \wedge \omega^k$.

* Key Point *

$$Q(L\xi, \eta) = \int L\xi \wedge \eta \wedge \omega^k, \quad \xi \in P^{n-k-2}(M) = V_{k+2}, \quad \eta \in P^{n-k}.$$

$$= \int \zeta \wedge \omega \wedge \eta \wedge \omega^K = \pm \int \zeta \wedge \eta \wedge \omega^{K+1} = \pm \int \zeta \wedge L^{K+1} \eta = 0$$

smce $L^{KH} \eta = 0$ ($\because \eta \in P^{n-k}$)