

not be bounded. In general, the same argument works, I think, even for g not meromorphic.

Let's accept !!!

$H^0(\Sigma, \Omega^1) = H^{1,0}(\Sigma) = 0$ since $H^1(\Sigma) = 0 = H^{1,0}(\Sigma) \oplus H^{0,1}(\Sigma)$ since Σ is simply connected and $\pi_1(\Sigma) = H_1(\Sigma) = 0$ by P170 and P494.

$\Rightarrow \omega_{\Sigma} \equiv 0$.

Suppose G is smooth quadric in P^4 .

\Rightarrow By Lefschetz hyperplane section,

$$H^i(G) \cong H^i(G \cap H) \quad \text{for } i \leq \begin{matrix} 3 \\ \neq \end{matrix} \dim G - 2 = 2$$

$\Rightarrow H^1(G) = H^1(G \cap H) = 0$ since $G \cap H \cong P^1 \times P^1$.

\Rightarrow We can show that $H^1(\Sigma) = 0$.

Thus $\iota^* dz_i = -dz_i$, i.e., ι is the standard involution of the Abelian variety $A = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$ induced by the map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (-z_1, -z_2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 ; precisely the same argument shows that the involution ι' is likewise induced by the involution $z \mapsto -z$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , but with a different choice of base point.

$$\Gamma \quad \iota: A = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$$

$$[z_1, z_2] \longmapsto [f_1(z_1, z_2), f_2(z_1, z_2)]$$

$$\iota^* dz_i = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_1} dz_1 + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_2} dz_2 = -dz_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_1} = -1$$