

$\Rightarrow Q$  has eigenvalues  $\pm \delta_j i$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Clearly,  $\{\pm \delta_j i\}$  is invariant.  $\Rightarrow \{\delta_j\}$  is invariant, for we have a different and right argument below.

First of all, we will prove the following lemma.

Lemma:  $M$  module over a ring  $R$ , and  $L_1, L_2$  module homomorphisms from  $M$  to  $M$ .  $\psi, \phi$  are isomorphisms of  $M$ . And  $\forall \phi \circ L_1 = L_2$  or  $L_2 = L_1 \circ \psi$ .  
then  $\frac{M}{\text{im } L_1} \cong \frac{M}{\text{im } L_2}$ .

pf). Define  $\phi : \frac{M}{\text{im } L_1} \rightarrow \frac{M}{\text{im } L_2}$  by  
$$m + \text{im } L_1 \mapsto \phi(m) + \text{im } L_2.$$

$$\phi(m + L_1(x)) = \phi(m) + \phi \circ L_1(x) = \phi(m) + L_2(x)$$

$\Rightarrow \phi$  is well-defined, and clearly  $\phi$  is onto.

$$\phi(m) \in \text{im } L_2 \Rightarrow \phi(m) = L_2(y) \Rightarrow L_2(y) = \phi \circ L_1(y)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Since  $\phi$  is one to one,  $m = L_1(y) \Rightarrow \phi$  is one to one  $\Rightarrow \phi$  is isomorphic.

Similarly, in case  $L_2 = L_1 \circ \psi$ ,

$$\psi : \frac{V}{\text{im } L_1} \rightarrow \frac{V}{\text{im } L_2}$$

$$v + \text{im } L_1 \mapsto v + \text{im } L_2$$