

the induced automorphism is the hyperelliptic involution.

\Rightarrow Let \tilde{g} be the induced hyperelliptic involution.

\Rightarrow By P254, for all $w \in H^0(B, \Omega')$, $\tilde{g}^* w = -w$.

\Rightarrow Since $f(B) = \frac{H^{1,0}(B)^*}{H_1(B, \mathbb{Z})}$,

\tilde{g} induces an isomorphism of $f(B)$ defined by

$$(z_1, z_2) + \Lambda \longmapsto (-z_1, -z_2) + \Lambda.$$

\Rightarrow The induced isomorphism of $f(B)$ is expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I do not what is the relation between a given isomorphism and the induced isomorphism on B vice versa. But it seems to me that for $g = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$g \circ p = m_2 \Rightarrow p$ is not a multiplication by two.

More information on Torelli's theorem, I guess.

One point that emerges from this discussion is this: since p is surjective, the quadric line complex X is determined by the curve B .

\square Since $g \circ p = m_2$, $p = g^{-1} \circ m_2$ and p is surjective ($\because m$ is isomorphic and m_2 is surjective).