

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_n)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow 0$
 $\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_n)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_1)} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$, where
 $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_1)} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)} \Rightarrow$ By Oka's lemma & def
 of coherent, R is coherent sheaf in some nbd of
 z_0 . \Rightarrow By the induction assumption $H_z^q(R) = 0$ since
 R has a local syzygy of length $(n-1)$. Now consider
 $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow 0$.

We can not go further. \Rightarrow It is not good way.

$0 \rightarrow \ker G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow 0$
 \Rightarrow Since $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_n)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_1)} \rightarrow \ker G \rightarrow 0$,
 $\ker G$ is coherent by the definition. \Rightarrow The length
 is $(n-1)$. \Rightarrow By the induction assumption, $H_z^q(\ker G) = 0$.

Consider the long exact sequence of the sequence

$0 \rightarrow \ker G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow 0$.
 $\Rightarrow H^q(U, \ker G) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{O}^{(k_0)}) \rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(U, \ker G)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{for } q \geq 0$.

$\Rightarrow H^q(U, \mathcal{F}_1) = 0 \Rightarrow H_z^q(\mathcal{F}_1) = \lim_{z \in U} H^q(U, \mathcal{F}_1)$.

A further property of coherent sheaves we shall repeatedly use is:

Given an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$$

of sheaves of \mathcal{O} -modules in which two of the three are coherent, then the remaining one is also.