

$\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in some  $\mathcal{D}_K$ , and the restriction of  $\Lambda$  to this  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is bounded.

⌈ If  $E$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ , for  $V \ni 0$ ,  $E \subset tV$ . But  $V = \mathcal{D}_K \cap W$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .  $\Rightarrow$  If we have  $\Theta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  s.t.  $\Theta \ni 0$ ,  $s(\mathcal{D}_K \cap \Theta) \supset E \Rightarrow s\Theta \supset E \Rightarrow E$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . The restriction of  $\Lambda$  to this  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is bounded.  $\sqcup$

Th. 1.32, applied to  $\Lambda: \mathcal{D}_K \rightarrow Y$ , shows that  $\Lambda\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ . Thus (b) implies (c).

⌈ Since  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is metrizable, we can apply Th 1.32 to our case.  $\sqcup$

Assume (c) holds,  $\{\phi_i\} \subset \mathcal{D}_K$ , and  $\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ . By (b) of Theorem 6.5,  $\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

⌈  $W$  open in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .  $W \ni 0$ .  $\Rightarrow W \cap \mathcal{D}_K$  open in  $\mathcal{D}_K$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists N$  s.t. if  $i > N$ ,  $\phi_i \in W \cap \mathcal{D}_K \Rightarrow \phi_i \in W$ .  $\sqcup$

Hence (c) implies that  $\Lambda\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .  
 Since  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is metrizable, (d) follows.

⌈ Here we used again Th 1.32. P23.

Consider  $\Lambda: \mathcal{D}_K \rightarrow Y$ .  $\Rightarrow$  By (c), if  $\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_K$ , then  $\Lambda\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $Y$ , since  $\phi_i \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

$\Rightarrow$  By the equivalences of Th 1.32,  $\Lambda$  is continuous.  $\sqcup$

To prove that (d) implies (a), let  $U$  be a convex balanced nbd of 0 in  $Y$ , and put  $V = \Lambda^{-1}(U)$ . Then  $V$  is convex and balanced.