

Then, by the $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma the inclusion

$$\Omega^{p,*} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{p,*}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega^p & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathcal{Q}^{p,0} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}^{p,1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}^{p,2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

If $q > 0$, since $\mathcal{Q}^{p,q-1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{Q}^{p,q} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{Q}^{p,q+1}$ is exact,

$$\mathcal{H}_x^q = \lim_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{\ker \{\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{Q}^{p,q}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{p,q+1}(u)\}}{\bar{\partial} \mathcal{Q}^{p,q-1}(u)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{Q}^{p,*}) = 0$$

$$\text{If } q = 0, \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^0(\Omega^{p,*}) &= \Omega^p \\ \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}^{p,*}) &= \bigcup \mathcal{H}_x^0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{H}_x^0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{\ker \{\bar{\partial}: \mathcal{Q}^{p,0}(u) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{p,1}(u)\}}{0}$$

$$= \Omega_x^p.$$

Since j is inclusion, $\mathcal{H}^0(\Omega^{p,*}) \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{Q}^{p,*}) \quad j^* = \text{id.} \Rightarrow$

Repeating the argument just given for de Rham's theorem gives the Dolbeault isomorphism

$$H^q(M, \Omega^p) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(M).$$

By the lemma on P.U., $H^*(M, \Omega^{p,*}) \cong H^*(M, \mathcal{Q}^{p,*}) \oplus$

$$\Rightarrow \left(E_{\Omega^{p,*}}^r \right)_2^{p,q} = H^p(M, \mathcal{H}^q(\Omega^{p,*})) = \begin{cases} H^p(M, \Omega^r) & q=0 \\ 0 & q>0 \end{cases}$$